

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,372	08/10/2001	Jun Nakagawa	110106	2666	
25944 75	90 09/20/2005	EXAMINER			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			PAPPAS	PAPPAS, PETER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2671		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/889,372	NAKAGAWA, JUN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671		

	· oto: /		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence	address
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN COND	ITION FOR ALLOWAN	CE.
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:</li> </ol>	on the same day as filing a Nowing replies: (1) an amend lotice of Appeal (with appeal	lotice of Appeal. To avo ment, affidavit, or other fee) in compliance with	oid abandonment of evidence, which a 37 CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad		orth in the final rejection, whi	ichever is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)		•	FILED WITHIN TWO
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 ( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or	f).		
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally	set in the final Office action;	or (2) as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dismis	ssal of the appeal.
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time perio	od set forth in 37 CFR 4	1.37(a).
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing	g a brief, will <u>not</u> be ente	ered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	• •		
(c)   They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simpli	fying the issues for
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Non-Compliant Amend	ment (PTOL-324).
5. $\bigsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ———		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment canceling
7. The status of the plaint(s) is (as will be) so follows:		o)  will be entered an	d an explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,10-16 and 20-27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin	g a Notice of Anneal, but pri	or to the date of filing a	hrief will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections und	er appeal and/or appella	ant fails to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	-		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for a	llowance because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)	
13.  Other:	,	110. 0	
	2	UChaule ULKA J. CHAUHAN	-
		ULKA J. CHAUHAN	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Griffin (U.S. Patent No. 5, 990, 904) fails to teach processing primitives front to back and cites the background of Griffin to support this position. What Griffin in fact teaches in the background is the general concept of hidden surface removal - specifically that there are a number of approaches and variations to this concept. Griffin cites as one example processing primitives back to front, but does not state that the invention claimed by Griffin limits itself to this approach or that it is the only approach for hidden surface removal. In fact, as pointed out in the prior office action, Griffin teaches both the concept and motivation for processing elements of said primitives (i.e. color and alpha) front to back (column 42, lines 10-67; column 43, lines 1-46). Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but have not been deemed persuasive.